UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI
ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL OF
MARINE, ATMOSPHERIC
& EARTH SCIENCE

## Comprehensive Exam



			□ MS	□ PhD	
Student ID#	Program				
The comprehensive e	xam was administered t	to	Student	Nama	on
			Student	Name	
Dates(s)	<del>.</del>				
The result of the comp	orehensive exam was:	□ PASS		□ FAIL	
		Date Exam	n Passed		
Comments:					
Committee Chair					
Print Name	Signa	ature			Date
<b>Program Director</b>					
Print Name	Signa	ature			Date
				GS	
				Stan	
				Otal	

Student Name:				Graduate Marine & Atmospheric Science Sch					hool Code: GRMS	
UM ID:				Program:						
Rating of Comprehensive Examination		Degree Level:	Date			Date:	e:			
		Ratir	Rating Scale and Expla				nations			
	1 = Unacceptable	2 = Poor	3 = Ac	ceptable	4 = Very Good		5 = Excep	otional	Rating 1-5 (from scale to left)	
Knowledge of the Discipline	Significant mistakes, lack of understanding of fundamentals of the discipline	Several errors, signs of incomplete understanding of some important aspects	to questi	t responses ons, no major or signs of s	Correct answers, demonstration of good general knowledge of the discipline		Demonstration of comprehensive knowledge of the discipline			
Appropriate Problem-Solving Methodology	Significant errors in selection or use of methodology to solve given problems	Suboptimal choice or use of methodology, some questionable results or explanations	correctly adequate		correctly and adequately with very		Methodology applied elegantly with comprehensive explanations			
Critical Thinking	Muddled presentation with errors in reasoning and / or without much analysis and synthesis	Reasoning sometimes confused, simplistic, and / or not clearly explained	good exp	e reasoning, planations of ions, choices, lts	Clear reasoning, well organized presentation of assumptions, choices, conclusions		Clear and organized reasoning showing sound, original, and complex thinking			
Effective Written Communication	Responses generally unclear, poorly organized, incomplete or with significant errors	Responses sometimes unclear with weak organization or grammatical errors			Responses generally error-free with clear organization, depth, and good readability		Elegant writing with fully developed arguments and clear organization			
Effective Oral Communication	Unclear responses, difficult to understand, inadequate language or distracting mannerisms	Responses not always clear, some inadequate language or distracting mannerisms	main poi	ns, sufficient	Articulate responses, clear organization, professional language, no distractions		Elegant, confident, and engaging responses, professional language, good eye contact			
Overall Quality not necessarily average of earlier ratings	Unacceptable	Poor	Acceptat	ble	Very Good		Very Good Exceptional			

Comments:

University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine, Atmospheric, and Earth Science